

opportunity to question a witness who evidently provided information to the police officer at the scene of the accident. Without a Statement of Facts, this Court can not tell whether this witness testified at the trial and was subject to cross-examination by appellant, or whether he is just complaining that the witness did not appear, and therefore, he did not have that witness's testimony available. From what he contends the witness would say, that testimony would not have been helpful to appellant, but nonetheless, he had the opportunity to secure the attendance of that witness by subpoena if he thought the witness would be helpful. Failing to have done so, this point of error is likewise overruled.

Having found no reversible error, the judgment of the Trial Court is hereby affirmed.

SIGNED this 12 day of April, 1999.


JUDGE

J U D G M E N T

This case came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record of the Court below, the same being considered, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that the Judgment be in all things affirmed, and that the Appellant pay all costs in this behalf expended, and that this decision be certified below for observance.

SIGNED this 12 day of April, 1999.


JUDGE